FP vs PU Handouts for 10-30-08 Inf Rates Mtg O&M comparison FP vs PU
---

O&M Compa	O&M Comparison of First Preference (FP) and Project Use Customers	<u>e Customers</u>	
For use in the Informal Rates Meeting, 10/30/08	From FY 07. Project Use True-Up (FP substitution) - Note 2		FY 07 PRR Actual
	PROJECT USE  ORM BY AGENCY SHARE FORMULA COSTS  ORM BY AGENCY SHARE FORMULA COSTS	USE TED O&M BY AGENCY	PREFERENCE
Western Power System Expenses: Transmission excense - operation	11, 0,00, 1.2	3	SHANE (HOW S)
Transmission expense - maintenance (includes anticipated expensed RRADs)	1.508,175 1.28% 1.22:937,175 1.28%	8.499 8.457	
Power users accounting and collecting expenses Power marketing and general power resources planning expenses	273% 377%	117/652	
Sub-total Western O&M	46,046,313	ø	6,313 4.94%
Untunded CSRS - Western CVP	1,706,331	en.	
Unfunded CSRS - Western Intertie			
Intertie O&M			102,130 4,94%
Total Western O&M	\$ 47,752,644	22	19.375
			į
Reclamation Expenses: Hidraulic Power Constrain			•
Transmission Expense	3.19 REQ 3.198% 3.19 REQ 5.188	993,649	-
Administrative & General Expense	3.98%		· .
Water Marketing	5,048,392 3,98% 3 3	201130	
Reclamation Scheduling Agent Cost Assoc with CVP Gen	234,575		
Sub-total USBR O&M	3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	246.925 \$ 31,532,595	2,595 4.94%
Total USBR O&M	A STATE OF S	4	7,696 4.94%
	9 317,500a,300.	1,240,425	\$32,870,291
TOTAL O&M (Western & Reclamation)	`\$ 79,285,239 <u>\$</u> 2,07	078,025 \$82,412,666	2,666
	FD cristian for procling offsetting resignite hangility forces and process	OFFSETTING REVENUE CALCULATIONS:	ALCULATIONS:
	streams. (PU does not receive any of these offsets.)	PTP NITS offset	\$ 7,030,800
	The largest offsets and most easily identifiable, that are associated	30000	* 1,000,100
	with O&Wincide:	Subtotal (Adj w-CVP Xm offset)	et)
	J - CVP Transmission Revenues (PTP and NITS)		,
	PU Revenues	PU Revenue offset (O&M ONLY)	NLY) \$ 16,498,366
	FP customers' share of these benefits for FY 2007 are calculated at	Total Cost to FP (with Xm and PU Revenues)	d PU Revenues)
Notes:	4.94% of the total		
1/ Using Reclamation's O&M Sub Allocation Methodology (which is used for determining PU Costs)	U Costs)		
2/ To develop the FP use share, FP load and meter information was substituted for PU Load and meter information in the Sub Aliocation.	ad and meter information in the Sub Allocation.		
3/ This equates to the actual FP computed percentage for FY 2007.	+ DII footood purchase) - DII loodi		
4.199	4/To perform the comparison all posts paid for by preference cristomers (such as intended posts) and posts posts and for by preference cristomers (such as intended posts).	240	

# <u>O&M Comparison of First Preference (FP) and Project Use Customers</u> (using actual FY 2007 financial data)

## Other Costs/Revenues (besides O&M) and their allocation

For use at the 10-30-08 Informal Rates Meeting

## Who is Paying for (Gross amts):

Description of Revenue or Expense (lined up by PRR category):		Project Use	E	Preference		Total	NOTES:
Project Repayment			\$.	. 8,200,000			
Interest			\$				•
			•				·
Washoe	*		\$	580,000			
PU Power Purchases	\$	345,806	\$	3,354,194	\$	3,700,000	
CAISO Costs for SBA balancing (WSNR)			\$	2,600,000			PU does not pay for this per the Sub Allocation Methodology
(e.g. export TAC fees, import charges)							
Other Expenses							
	_		_		_		HCA costs for PU are allocated using Form. #1 (Recl O&M), but are paid in
- HCA Costs	\$	168,353		1,561,201	\$	1,729,554	Other Expense Category on Sub Allocation
- PACI Path Operator			\$	729,167			
- COTP 27 MW (TANC Lease)			\$	502,101		•	
- Trinity Assessment			\$	468,992			Not paid through Sub Allocation
- CIRS PG&E Facility Charge (COTP)			\$	18,528			DOE pays for over 75% (see offsetting revs below for CiRS)
- WECC dues			\$	23,951			
- DOE's expenses associated with COTP O&M - CASH CALL.			\$	746,105			These charges are 100% pass thru to DOE (see offsetting revs below)
- CAISO Market & GMC Charges for NM Pseudo-Tie (WNML)			\$	965,659			
- CAISO Market & GMC Charges for 2207A (WSLW) and WPUL - Expenses	\$	381,091					GMC & FERC Fees - these costs are not present on PRR
Gen. Dev. ass. with non-specific power purpose (Example forced outage)	\$	3,336					
- Nimbus FH Charges	\$	19,349					
- Resource Adequacy	\$	8,288	\$	63,304	\$	71,592	PU portion is \$27K and FP portion is \$44K.
Promoted a Promoted a Control							•
(ransmission Expenses (Note 1)		004.000					
• WDT preference and PU (includes WDT admin fee) - Rev-Expenses	\$	324,220	Þ	-			
- HV/LV TAC from CAISO WPUL/WSLW invoices	\$	660,044					
- Wheeling for 2207A - Expenses	\$	348,791					
- Amortization of San Luis Transmission	\$	53,060					
- Amortization of New Melones Transmission	\$	81,091					
Aiscellaneous Revenues (offsetting revs):							•
SC/PM/VRC Revenues			\$	(1,752,775)			
Ancillary Service Sales (IOA Members)				(2,590,165)			
PACI Revenues				(2,046,080)			•
DOE's revenues associated with COTP O&M			\$	(746,105)			Offsetting revs from DOE for COTP O&M (see other expenses above)
Facility use Charge			\$	(272,201)			ourseined to sation to perior of the form (accounter exhauses appare)
Late Payment			э \$				,
			Ф \$	(147,252)			
Whiskeytown				(43,440)			
Application Fee			\$	(7,000)			
CIRS PG&E Facility Charge			\$	(12,351)			
CVP S/T PTP			\$	(54,000)			Auto-Land Company of the Company of
Energy Unbanking			\$	(5,000,000)		,	Actual amt for FY 07 was \$9.8M, but for this analysis it was reduced to \$5M
CVP NITS and PTP Offsetting revenues			\$	-	\$	(18,983,939)	CVP NITS and PTP 1/
	**********	·					·
OTAL COST OF OTHER COSTS/REVS TO PU AND FP	\$	2,393,430	\$	11,341,832			

#### SUMMARY OF PROJECT USE AND FIRST PREFERENCE COMPARISON

Source: From 0&M Comparison Sheet Source: From the above columns for Other Costs & Revenues

PU's O&M \$ 16,498,119 \$ 2,078,025 O&M cost (from sub Allocation)
Other costs \$ 2,393,430 \$ 560,287 plus PP portion of Other costs and Revenues

\$ 18,891,550 \$ 2,638,312
\$ \$ 16,19 \$ \$ 16,19 \$ \$ 16,19 \$ \$ 16,19 \$ \$ 16,19 \$ \$ 16,19 \$ \$ 16,19 \$ \$ 16,19 \$ \$ 16,19 \$ \$ 16,19 \$ \$ 16,19 \$ \$ 16,19 \$ \$ 16,19 \$ \$ 16,19 \$ \$ 16,19

560.287 Cost to FP customers (4.94% of Total Cost)

Based on: Project Use Energy of 1,291,911 MWh FP Load of 162,953 MWh

ΑIN

#### NOTES:

1/ These expenses are annotated on the Sub Allocation and paid for by PU, but are not listed on the PRR worksheet. Therefore they are 100% paid by PU customers. 2/ These offsets were already applied in the O&M portion of this comparison analysis. The offset was calculated as: From the G&T PU and FP Comparison Model:

\$ 11,953,139 NITS \$ 7,030,800 PTP (1.05) \$ 18,983,939 CVP Offsetting Rev 3/ FP 2007 Actual FP Calculated % was:

.

4.94%

4/ First Preference FY 07 Information:

\$2,524,319.10 Total FP Payments 162,953.00 Total FP Energy 4,94% Actual FP %